



Scheme 2. Total synthesis of lycodine (**1**). Reagents and conditions: (a) TIPSCl, imid., DMF, room temp., 77%; (b) $\text{CH}_3\text{P}(\text{O})(\text{OMe})_2$, $n\text{BuLi}$, THF, -78°C , 85%; (c) ethyl glyoxylate, $t\text{BuOK}$, DME, -30°C ; (d) TBAF, THF, 0°C to room temp. 42% (2 steps); (e) $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, CH₃CN, room temp., 56%, (three isomers, 32%); (f) Tf_2O , Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C to room temp. 91%; (g) $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$, Et₃N, DMA, 120°C , 73%; (h) NaBH_4 , $\text{CeCl}_3 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, MeOH, 0°C to room temp. 86% (11:1); (i) NaH, CS₂ then MeI, THF, 0°C to room temp. 84%; (j) $n\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH}$, AIBN, toluene, 100°C , 79%; (k) $\text{LiOH} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, MeOH/THF/H₂O, 50°C ; (l) $(\text{PhO})_2\text{P}(\text{O})\text{N}_3$, Et₃N, toluene/CH₃CN, 0°C then H₂O, reflux, 98% (2 steps); (m) LiHMDS, TMSCl, Et₃N, THF, -78°C , 98%; (n) MeI, BTAF, 4 Å MS, THF, 0°C to room temp. 64%; (o) ethanedithiol, $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$, 0°C to room temp. 93%; (p) Raney Ni (W-2), EtOH, reflux, 85%. TIPS = triisopropylsilyl, DMF = *N,N*-dimethylformamide, DME = 1,2-dimethoxyethane, TBAF = tetra-*n*-butylammonium fluoride, Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl, Cbz = benzyloxycarbonyl, Boc = *tert*-butoxycarbonyl, DMA = *N,N*-dimethylacetamide, AIBN = 2,2'-azobisisobutylnitrile, HMDS = hexamethyldisilazane, TMS = trimethylsilyl, BTAF = benzyltrimethylammonium fluoride.

treatment with dimethyl methylphosphonate and $n\text{BuLi}$ gave β -ketophosphonate **10** in 65% yield for the two steps. Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction of **10** and ethyl glyoxalate furnished α,β -unsaturated γ -keto esters **11** and **7a** (6:1) and a small amount of *cis*-olefin. TIPS deprotection and separation of the *cis*-isomer gave dienophile **7a** as a single isomer.

Diels–Alder reaction of dienophile **7a** with known diene **8**^[10] proceeded smoothly in toluene at 110°C to give a mixture of four isomers without double bond isomerization in good yield (87%, 6:2:3:1).^[14] Desired major product **12** could be isolated by silica gel column chromatography, and the stereochemistry of **12** was determined by extensive NMR spectroscopic analysis including NOESY experiments.^[15] When dienophile **7b** or **7c**^[16] was employed for the Diels–Alder reaction, lower regioselectivity was observed. We explored the effects of reaction temperature, solvent, and additives with the use of **7a**. The addition of $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1 equiv.) in acetonitrile at room temperature gave an 88% yield with good selectivity (9:1:3:1.5).

After triflation of Diels–Alder product **12**, intramolecular Mizoroki–Heck reaction was investigated for constructing the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane core. Compound **6** was treated with a catalytic amount of $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ and triethylamine in dimethylacetamide at 120°C . The reaction af-

forded α,β -unsaturated ester **13** in 18% yield via putative 6-*exo-trig* product **5**, which was immediately isomerized under the reaction conditions. The low yield was anticipated to be due to deactivation of the palladium catalyst by chelation of the pyridyl ketone unit of two molecules of **6**. Therefore, dilute conditions (0.005 M) were employed to optimize the yield (73%).

After synthesis of valuable intermediate **13**, the goal was to stereoselectively introduce the C15 methyl group to complete the total synthesis. Reduction of ketone **13** under Luche conditions afforded a mixture of epimeric alcohols (*dr* = 11:1). Subsequent formation of the xanthate followed by Barton–McCombie deoxygenation^[17] produced compound **14** in 58% overall yield. Introduction of the C15 methyl group was unsuccessful with α,β -unsaturated ester **13** and **14** with the use of various organocopper reagents.^[18] Therefore, ester **14** was hydrolyzed under basic conditions, and the resultant carboxylic acid was decarboxylated by Curtius rearrangement by using diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA)^[19] followed by hydrolysis to give ketone **15** in 98% yield. After formation of the silyl enol ether, the methyl group was introduced stereoselectively by treatment with iodomethane, benzyltrimethylammonium fluoride (BTAF), and 4 Å molecular sieves to afford methyl ketone **16** in 63% yield for the two steps.^[20] The stereochemistry was con-

firmed by extensive NMR spectroscopic analysis including NOESY experiments. Thioacetalization of ketone **16** with ethanedithiol and $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ and subsequent one-pot reduction and deprotection of the Cbz group by using Raney Ni (W-2) resulted in completion of the total synthesis of (\pm)-lycodine (**1**). Spectroscopic (^1H NMR, ^{13}C NMR, UV, IR) and high-resolution mass spectrometric data of the synthetic sample were identical to those of the natural product.^[21]

Conclusions

In conclusion, we established a convenient synthetic route to (\pm)-lycodine (**1**) by using Diels–Alder and intramolecular Mizoroki–Heck reactions [total 15 steps from methyl 3-hydroxypicolinate (**11**)]. This strategy will be readily applicable to the total syntheses of related natural products including complanadines and synthetic analogues for structure–activity relationship studies.

Experimental Section

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article): Experimental details and copies of the ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra of all new compounds.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank Professor J. Kobayashi and Professor T. Kubota for providing the natural product sample and the ^1H NMR spectra. This work was financially supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (Start-up).

- [1] a) Y. Hirasawa, J. Kobayashi, H. Morita, *Heterocycles* **2009**, 77, 679–729; b) X. Ma, D. R. Gang, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2004**, 21, 752–772; c) W. A. Ayer, L. S. Trifonov in *The Alkaloids*, Vol. 45 (Eds.: G. A. Cordell, A. Brossi), Academic Press, **1994**, pp. 233–266; d) W. A. Ayer, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **1991**, 8, 455–463.
- [2] F. A. L. Anet, C. R. Eves, *Can. J. Chem.* **1958**, 36, 902–909.
- [3] W. A. Ayer, G. G. Iverach, *Can. J. Chem.* **1960**, 38, 1823–1826.
- [4] a) J. Kobayashi, Y. Hirasawa, N. Yoshida, H. Morita, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, 41, 9069–9073; b) H. Morita, K. Ishiuchi, A. Haganuma, T. Hoshino, Y. Obara, N. Nakahata, J. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron* **2005**, 61, 1955–1960; c) K. Ishiuchi, T. Kubota, Y. Mikami, Y. Obara, N. Nakahata, J. Kobayashi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2007**, 15, 413–417.
- [5] a) C. H. Heathcock, E. F. Kleinman, E. S. Binkley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, 104, 1054–1068; b) E. F. Kleinman, C. H. Heathcock, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, 20, 4125–4128.
- [6] a) J.-S. Liu, Y.-L. Zhu, C.-M. Yu, Y.-Z. Zhou, Y.-Y. Han, F.-W. Wu, B.-F. Qi, *Can. J. Chem.* **1986**, 64, 837–839; b) W. A. Ayer, L. M. Browne, H. Orszanska, Z. Valenta, J.-S. Liu, *Can. J. Chem.* **1989**, 67, 1538–1540.
- [7] a) T. Koshiba, S. Yokoshima, T. Fukuyama, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, 11, 5354–5356; b) C. Lucey, S. A. Kelly, J. Mann, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2007**, 5, 301–306; c) Q.-B. Pan, D.-W. Ma, *Chin. J. Chem.* **2003**, 21, 793–796; d) X.-C. He, B. Wang, G. Yu, D. Bai, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, 12, 3213–3216; e) C. Chassaing, A. Haudrechy, Y. Langlois, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 8805–8809; f) S. Kaneko, T. Yoshino, T. Katoh, S. Terashima, *Tetrahedron* **1998**, 54, 5471–5484; g) F. Yamada, A. P. Kozikowski, E. R. Reddy, Y.-P. Pang, J. H. Miller, M. McKinney, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, 113, 4695–4696; h) L. Qian, R. Ji, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, 30, 2089–2090; i) Y. Xia, A. P. Kozikowski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, 111, 4116–4117.
- [8] a) D. F. Fischer, R. Sarpong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 5926–5927; b) C. Yuan, C.-T. Chang, A. Axelrod, D. Siegel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 5924–5925.
- [9] For selected reviews of the intramolecular Mizoroki–Heck reaction, see: a) I. P. Beletskaya, A. V. Cheprakov, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 100, 3009–3006; b) J. T. Link, *Org. React.* **2002**, 60, 157–534; c) A. B. Dounay, L. E. Overman, *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 103, 2945–2964.
- [10] The related strategy was reported to construct spiro compounds, see: S. Lindström, L. Ripa, A. Hallberg, *Org. Lett.* **2000**, 2, 2291–2293.
- [11] J. D. Ha, C. H. Kang, K. A. Belmore, J. K. Cha, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, 63, 3810–3811.
- [12] B. Boren, J. S. Hirschi, J. H. Reibenspies, M. D. Tallant, D. A. Singleton, G. A. Sulikowski, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 68, 8991–8995.
- [13] a) T. Urbanski, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, 1104–1105; b) A. P. Krapcho, S. N. Haydar, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2001**, 38, 1153–1166.
- [14] Diels–Alder reaction of **7a** and **17** produced a mixture of isomerized products **18** and **19** in 89% yield (5:3).
- [15] We also determined the stereochemistry of the other three isomers of **15** by extensive NMR spectroscopic analysis. See the Supporting Information.
- [16] Dienophile **7b** was prepared from methyl picolinate in two steps including Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction. Dienophile **7c** was obtained by triflation of **7a**.
- [17] D. H. R. Barton, S. W. McCombie, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I* **1975**, 1574–1585.
- [18] We tried $\text{MeCu} + \text{TMSI}$, $\text{MeCu} + \text{TMSCl}$, $\text{Me}_2\text{CuLi} + \text{TMSCl}$, and $\text{Me(Th)CuLi} + \text{TMSCl}$; a) N. Asao, S. Lee, Y. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, 44, 4265–4266; b) M. Bergdhal, E.-L. Lindstedt, M. Nilsson, T. Olsson, *Tetrahedron* **1988**, 44, 2055–2062; c) E.-L. Lindstedt, M. Nilsson, T. Olsson, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1987**, 334, 255–261; d) B. H. Lipshutz, M. Koerner, D. A. Parker, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 945–948.
- [19] T. Shioiri, K. Nishiyama, S. Yamada, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, 94, 6203–6205.
- [20] I. Kuwajima, E. Nakamura, M. Shimizu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, 104, 1025–1030.
- [21] T. T. Nakanishi, P. P. Singer, L. M. Browne, W. A. Ayer, *Can. J. Chem.* **1975**, 53, 1936–1942.

Received: May 10, 2010

Published Online: June 10, 2010